UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LOURDES CANDITA PEREZ PADILLA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICE HOUSING AUTHORITIES, et al.,

Defendants.

22-CV-8073 (LTS)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH LEAVE TO REPLEAD

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is appearing *pro se*, brings this action using the court's general complaint form. She purports to invoke the court's federal question and diversity of citizenship jurisdiction, alleging that Defendants have violated her rights. By order dated February 16, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), that is, without prepayment of fees. For the reasons that follow, the Court dismisses the complaint, but grants Plaintiff leave to replead within 60 days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co., 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the claims raised. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470

F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

Rule 8 requires a complaint to include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id*.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Lourdes Candita Perez Padilla, who resides in Yonkers, New York, brings this complaint relaying various events that have occurred in her life from 2015 to 2022. Plaintiff's 15-page complaint was filed on September 21, 2022. Plaintiff subsequently filed four letters on December 15, 2022, December 30, 2022, January 23, 2023, and February 6, 2023, repeating much of the same information contained in her complaint. (ECF Nos. 4-7.)

Plaintiff alleges that the events giving rise to her claims occurred in Yonkers, New York, and that the dates of occurrence were "2018 - 2022 - 2016 - 2015." (*Id.* at 5.) She seeks monetary damages.

DISCUSSION

Plaintiff's complaint is not short and plain, and it therefore fails to comply with Rule 8. Furthermore, the Court has closely scrutinized Plaintiff's complaint, and, despite the extensive information that Plaintiff presents, the Court is unable to discern the claims she is trying to assert against each named Defendant. The Court therefore dismisses the complaint for failure to state a claim. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

Even if the Court could discern each claim Plaintiff is attempting to assert, her complaint suffers from other deficiencies. Among the many Defendants Plaintiff names are Saint Joseph's Medical Center and St. Vincent's Hospital Westchester, which are Catholic health care facilities, sponsored by the Sisters of Charity of St. Vincent de Paul of New York, ¹ a construction company, an attorney, a pharmacy, an orthopedic doctor, a dentist, a supermarket, and other private individuals. Plaintiff also seeks to assert a claim against Yaxaira Bermeo for sexually harassing Plaintiff's husband. As explained below, Plaintiff has not stated a viable claim against any of these Defendants. It also does not appear that Plaintiff's claims against the named Defendants are properly joined.²

¹ See https://www.saintjosephs.org/about-us/mission (last visited February 16, 2023).

² Under Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a plaintiff may not pursue unrelated claims against multiple defendants. *Deskovic v. City of Peekskill*, 673 F. Supp. 2d 154, 167 (S.D.N.Y. 2009). Rule 20(a)(2) permits a plaintiff to join multiple defendants in one action if: (A) any right to relief is asserted against them jointly, severally, or in the alternative arising out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions . . .; and (B) any question of law or fact common to all defendants will arise in the action. Although courts have interpreted Rule 20(a) liberally to allow related claims to be tried within a single proceeding, *Barr Rubber Products Co. v. Sun Rubber Co.*, 425 F.2d 1114, 1126-27 (2d Cir. 1970), "the mere allegation that Plaintiff was injured by all Defendants is not sufficient to join unrelated parties as defendants in the same lawsuit pursuant to Rule 20(a)," *Deskovic*, 673 F. Supp. 2d at 167; *Webb v. Maldonado*, No. 3:13-CV-144 (RNC), 2013 WL 3243135, at *3 (D. Conn. June 26, 2013) ("Unrelated claims against different defendants belong in different suits . . . to prevent the sort of morass" created by a complaint with more than twenty defendants and countless unrelated claims.") (quotation and citation omitted).

A. Claims on Behalf of Others

Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Yaxaira Bermeo, a social worker, sexually harassed Plaintiff's husband, and Plaintiff seeks monetary damages from Defendant Bermeo for her alleged actions towards Plaintiff's husband. As a nonlawyer, however, Plaintiff can only represent her own interests. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1654; *U.S. ex rel. Mergent Servs. v. Flaherty*, 540 F.3d 89, 92 (2d Cir. 2008) ("[A]n individual who is not licensed as an attorney may not appear on another person's behalf in the other's cause.") (internal quotation marks and citation omitted); *Eagle Assocs. v. Bank of Montreal*, 926 F.2d 1305, 1308 (2d Cir. 1991) (noting that Section 1654 "allow[s] two types of representation: 'that by an attorney admitted to the practice of law by a governmental regulatory body, and that by a person representing himself"'). The Court therefore dismisses without prejudice any claims Plaintiff seeks to assert on behalf of her husband.

B. Claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Private Defendants

Because Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated her rights, the Court construes

Plaintiff's claims as arising under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. To state a claim under Section 1983, a

plaintiff must allege both that: (1) a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States

was violated, and (2) the right was violated by a person acting under the color of state law, or a

"state actor." West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48-49 (1988).

Rule 21 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that "on its own, the court may at any time, on just terms, add or drop a party. The court may also sever any claim against a party." *Id.* In determining whether to sever a claim, the court considers "the two requirements of Rule 20 and additional factors, including (1) whether severance will serve judicial economy; (2) whether prejudice to the parties would be caused by severance; and (3) whether the claims involve different witnesses and evidence." *Kehr v. Yamaha Motor Corp.*, 596 F. Supp. 2d 821, 826 (S.D.N.Y. 2008) (relying on *Laureano v. Goord*, No. 06-CV-7845, 2007 WL 2826649, at *8 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 31, 2007)).

Any Section 1983 claims that Plaintiff seeks to assert against "Construction Company = River City Development = Portchester NY. Mike Prieto and Edgar Mocha," "Roger Guarda = Coinarte Office," "Robert Jacobson = Family = pharmacy and Surgical Supply," "Dr. Dent = Orthopedics," St. Joseph's Hospital, Louis Albano and Mary Alice Brady, Cherry Valley Supermarket, Nick Sullo, Saint Vincent's Hospital, and "Dr. Daniel Neghassi = Sun river health care," are also subject to dismissal.³

A claim for relief under Section 1983 must allege facts showing that each defendant acted under the color of a state "statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Private parties therefore generally are not liable under the statute. Sykes v. Bank of America, 723 F.3d 399, 406 (2d Cir. 2013) (citing Brentwood Acad. v. Tenn. Secondary Sch. Athletic Ass'n, 531 U.S. 288, 295 (2001)); see also Ciambriello v. Cntv. of Nassau, 292 F.3d 307, 323 (2d Cir. 2002) ("[T]he United States Constitution regulates only the Government, not private parties."). Absent special circumstances suggesting concerted action between an attorney and a state representative, see Nicholas v. Goord, 430 F.3d 652, 656 n.7 (2d Cir. 2005) (citing Adickes v. S.H. Kress & Co., 398 U.S. 144, 152 (1970)), the representation of a defendant by private counsel in state criminal proceedings does not constitute the degree of state involvement or interference necessary to establish a claim under Section 1983, regardless of whether that attorney is privately retained, court-appointed, or employed as a public defender. See Bourdon v. Loughren, 386 F.3d 88, 90 (2d Cir. 2004) (citing Polk Cnty. v. Dodson, 454 U.S. 312, 324-25 (1981)); see also Schnabel v. Abramson, 232 F.3d 83, 87 (2d Cir. 2000) (holding that legal aid organization ordinarily is not a state actor for purposes of Section 1983). As these Defendants are

³ The Court quotes the complaint verbatim. All spelling, punctuation, and grammar are as in the original.

private parties who are not alleged to work for any state or other government body, Plaintiff cannot state a claim against these Defendants under Section 1983.

C. State-Law Claims

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3), a federal district court may decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction of state-law claims once it has dismissed all of the federal claims of which it had original jurisdiction. *See, e.g., Carnegie-Mellon Univ. v. Cohill*, 484 U.S. 343, 350 n.7 (1988) ("Generally, when the federal-law claims have dropped out of the lawsuit in its early stages and only state-law claims remain, the federal court should decline the exercise of jurisdiction.").

Because it is not clear that Plaintiff can state any federal claim, the Court will determine at a later time whether to exercise supplemental jurisdiction of any state-law claims Plaintiff seeks to raise in any amended complaint. *See Martinez v. Simonetti*, 202 F.3d 625, 636 (2d Cir. 2000) (directing dismissal of supplemental state-law claims where no federal claims remained).

D. New York Legal Assistance Group

Plaintiff may consider contacting the New York Legal Assistance Group's ("NYLAG") Clinic for Pro Se Litigants in the Southern District of New York, which is a free legal clinic staffed by attorneys and paralegals to assist those who are representing themselves in civil lawsuits in this court. The clinic is run by a private organization; it is not part of, or run by, the court. It cannot accept filings on behalf of the court, which must still be made by any *pro se* party through the Pro Se Intake Unit. A copy of the flyer with details of the clinic is attached to this order.

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its

defects unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). Plaintiff's claims against Yaxaira Bermeo, "Construction Company = River City Development = Portchester NY. Mike Prieto and Edgar Mocha," "Roger Guarda = Coinarte Office," "Robert Jacobson = Family = pharmacy and Surgical Supply," "Dr. Dent = Orthopedics," St. Joseph's Hospital, Louis Albano and Mary Alice Brady, Cherry Valley Supermarket, Nick Sullo, Saint Vincent's Hospital, and "Dr. Daniel Neghassi = Sun river health care," cannot be cured with an amendment.⁴

Because Plaintiff may be able to allege facts to state viable claims, the Court grants

Plaintiff sixty days' leave to file an amended complaint that alleges valid claims against the

Department of Social Services and its employees, and the Municipal Housing Authority for the

City of Yonkers and its employees. Accordingly, Plaintiff is granted leave to amend her

complaint solely to provide facts that state valid claims against the Department of Social

Services and its employees, and the Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers and its

employees, and to allege that her claims against these Defendants are properly joined, pursuant

to Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

⁴ Plaintiff must not name as Defendants in her amended complaint any Defendants who have been dismissed in this order. Should Plaintiff reassert claims against these Defendants in her amended complaint, those claims will be summarily dismissed.

First, Plaintiff must name as the defendant(s) in the caption⁵ and in the statement of claim those individuals who were allegedly involved in the deprivation of her federal rights. If Plaintiff does not know the name of a defendant, she may refer to that individual as "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" in both the caption and the body of the amended complaint. The naming of John Doe defendants, however, does *not* toll the three-year statute of limitations period governing this action and Plaintiff shall be responsible for ascertaining the true identity of any "John Doe" defendants and amending her complaint to include the identity of any "John Doe" defendants before the statute of limitations period expires. Should Plaintiff seek to add a new claim or party after the statute of limitations period has expired, she must meet the requirements of Rule 15(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. If Plaintiff has an address for any named defendant, Plaintiff must provide it. Plaintiff should include all of the information in the amended complaint that Plaintiff wants the Court to consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred;

⁵ The caption is located on the front page of the complaint. Each individual defendant must be named in the caption. Plaintiff may attach additional pages if there is not enough space to list all of the defendants in the caption. If Plaintiff needs to attach an additional page to list all defendants, he should write "see attached list" on the first page of the Amended Complaint. Any defendants named in the caption must also be discussed in Plaintiff's statement of claim.

⁶ For example, a defendant may be identified as: "Department of Social Services Employee John Doe #1, working on December 23, 2022, during the morning."

- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated her federally protected rights and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

The Court dismisses Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

The Court dismisses all Section 1983 claims Plaintiff seeks to assert against Yaxaira Bermeo, "Construction Company = River City Development = Portchester NY. Mike Prieto and Edgar Mocha," "Roger Guarda = Coinarte Office," "Robert Jacobson = Family = pharmacy and Surgical Supply," Dr. Dent = Orthopedics," St. Joseph's Hospital, Louis Albano and Mary Alice Brady, Cherry Valley Supermarket, Nick Sullo, Saint Vincent's Hospital, and "Dr. Daniel Neghassi = Sun river health care." *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within sixty days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 22-CV-8073 (LTS). An Amended Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and she cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the Court will enter

judgment dismissing the complaint for failure to state a federal claim and declining to exercise

supplemental jurisdiction over any state-law claims.

The Clerk of Court is instructed to keep this action open on the docket until judgment is

entered.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf.

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates

good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

April 3, 2023

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN Chief United States District Judge

10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	CV
Write the full name of each plaintiff.	 (Include case number if one has been assigned)
-against-	AMENDED
	COMPLAINT
	_ Do you want a jury trial? □ Yes □ No
	_
Write the full name of each defendant. If you need more space, please write "see attached" in the space above and attach an additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names listed above must be identical to those contained in Section II.	_

NOTICE

The public can access electronic court files. For privacy and security reasons, papers filed with the court should therefore *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.

I. BASIS FOR JURISDICTION

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation, and the amount in controversy is more than \$75,000, is a diversity case. In a diversity case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What is the basis for federal-court jurisdiction in your case?			
☐ Federal Question			
☐ Diversity of Citizenship			
A. If you checked Federal Question			
Which of your federal constitutional or federal statutory rights have been violated?			
B. If you checked Diversity of Citizenship			
1. Citizenship of the parties			
Of what State is each party a citizen?			
The plaintiff,, is a citizen of the State of (Plaintiff's name)			
(Plaintiff's name)			
(State in which the person resides and intends to remain.)			
or, if not lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States, a citizen or subject of the foreign state of			
If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach additional pages providing information for each additional plaintiff.			

If the defendant is an individual:		
The defendant, (Defendant's name)		, is a citizen of the State of
or, if not lawfully admitted for permanen subject of the foreign state of		
If the defendant is a corporation:		·
The defendant,		, is incorporated under the laws of
the State of		
and has its principal place of business in t		
or is incorporated under the laws of (forei	gn state)	
and has its principal place of business in		<u>.</u>
If more than one defendant is named in the cinformation for each additional defendant.	complaint, att	ach additional pages providing
II. PARTIES		
A. Plaintiff Information		
Provide the following information for each poages if needed.	laintiff name	d in the complaint. Attach additional
First Name Middle Initial	Last	Name
Street Address		
County, City	State	Zip Code
Telephone Number	Email Addre	ss (if available)

B. Defendant Information

To the best of your ability, provide addresses where each defendant may be served. If the correct information is not provided, it could delay or prevent service of the complaint on the defendant. Make sure that the defendants listed below are the same as those listed in the caption. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant 1:					
	First Name	Last Name			
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)				
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)				
	County, City	State	Zip Code	_	
Defendant 2:					
	First Name	Last Name			
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)				
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)				
	County, City	State	Zip Code	_	
Defendant 3:					
	First Name	Last Name			
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)			_	
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)			_	
	County, City	State	Zip Code	_	

Defendant 4:				
	First Name	Last Name		
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)			
	Current Work Addre	ess (or other address where defe	endant may be served)	
	County, City	State	Zip Code	
III. STATEME	ENT OF CLAIM			
Place(s) of occur	rence:			
Date(s) of occurr	rence:			
FACTS:				
	at each defendant pe	oort your case. Describe what h rsonally did or failed to do that		

INJURIES:
If you were injured as a result of these actions, describe your injuries and what medical treatment, if any, you required and received.
IV. RELIEF
State briefly what money damages or other relief you want the court to order.

V. PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATION AND WARNINGS

By signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that: (1) the complaint is not being presented for an improper purpose (such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation); (2) the claims are supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument to change existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

I agree to notify the Clerk's Office in writing of any changes to my mailing address. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Each Plaintiff must sign and date the complaint. Attach additional pages if necessary. If seeking to proceed without prepayment of fees, each plaintiff must also submit an IFP application.

Dated		Plaintiff's	Signature
First Name	Middle Initial	Last Nam	e
Street Address			
County, City	:	State	Zip Code
Telephone Number	ne Number Email Address (if available)		
I have read the Pro Se (Nonprisoner) Conse	nt to Receive D	Oocuments Electronically:
□ Yes □ No			
•	receive documents el not consent, please d		omit the completed form with your eform.



Since 1990, NYLAG has provided free civil legal services to New Yorkers who cannot afford private attorneys.

Free Legal Assistance for Self-Represented Civil Litigants in Federal District Court for the Southern District Of New York

The NYLAG Legal Clinic for Pro Se Litigants in the Southern District of New York is a free legal clinic staffed by attorneys, law students and paralegals to assist those who are representing themselves or planning to represent themselves in civil lawsuits in the Southern District of New York. The clinic does not provide full representation. The clinic, which is not part of or run by the court, assists litigants with federal civil cases including cases involving civil rights, employment discrimination, labor law, social security benefits, foreclosure and tax.

To Contact the Clinic:

Call (212) 659-6190 or complete our online intake form (found here: https://tinyurl.com/NYLAG-ProSe-OI). A staff member will contact you within a few business days.

Those looking for assistance can also contact the clinic at the kiosk located across the hall from the pro se clinic office in the courthouse.

At this time, the clinic offers remote consultations only. Requests for inperson appointments will be reviewed on a case-to-case basis.

Location and Hours:

Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse

Room LL22 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007 (212) 659 6190

Open weekdays 10 a.m. – 4 p.m. Closed on federal and court holidays

Disclaimer: The information contained herein is for informational purposes only and is not legal advice or a substitute for legal counsel, nor does it constitute advertising or a solicitation.



The NYLAG Legal Clinic for Pro Se Litigants in the Southern District of New York provides free limited legal assistance to individuals who are representing themselves or planning to represent themselves in civil lawsuits in federal court in Manhattan and White Plains. The clinic is staffed by attorneys, law students, and paralegals. Information given to clinic staff is confidential.

Clinic Staff Can:

- Advise on filing cases in federal court, including on the issue of whether a case should be filed in the Southern District of New York or somewhere else;
- Provide legal advice in response to questions that come up at any stage of litigation;
- Assist in getting additional information or research into the legal issue in your case;
- Review and explain court orders and filings by your opponent, and provide an overview of the federal legal process in civil cases generally;
- Assist with motions, discovery, and strategy;
- Assist with getting ready for depositions, pretrial conferences, mediations, and court appearances;
- Provide forms and instructions manuals;
- In appropriate cases, help you retain pro bono counsel;
- In appropriate cases, represent you in a mediation through the Southern District's Alternative Dispute Resolution Program, or a court-ordered settlement conference;
- In appropriate cases, represent you at a deposition; and
- In appropriate cases, provide referrals to other agencies and organizations that provide civil legal services and/or social services.

Use of the NYLAG Legal Clinic for Pro Se Litigants is separate from any appointment of counsel by the court. A request for appointment of counsel requires a separate application and the decision whether to appoint counsel is entirely up to the court. Even if a litigant has consulted with Clinic staff, unless they retain other counsel and that counsel enters a notice of appearance, they remain unrepresented; are responsible for doing whatever is necessary in connection with the case; and must still submit all court papers to the Pro Se Intake Unit, located in Room 105 of the Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, New York, New York, or by following the court's instructions for filing via email as a pro se litigant.

Clinic Staff Cannot:

- Assist with federal civil cases that belong in a different federal court, such as the Eastern District of New York, which covers of New York, which covers Brooklyn, Queens, Staten Island, and Nassau and Suffolk Counties;
- Assist with an appeal of your federal case;
- Assist with state court cases, bankruptcy court cases, or criminal cases;
- Pay any of the costs associated with filing or defending a lawsuit in federal court;
- File documents with the court on your behalf;
- Appear on your behalf other than representation at a mediation through the Southern District's Alternative Dispute Resolution Program, a court-ordered settlement conference, or, in appropriate cases, a deposition;
- Write court documents for you; or
- Conduct an investigation into the facts of your case.

Clinic Staff May Decline Assistance If:

- NYLAG has already given advice to your opponent;
- Your legal problem is beyond the scope of matters handled by the clinic;
- Providing assistance would conflict with the New York Rules of Professional Conduct;
- Your income and/or assets are high enough to allow you to retain private counsel; or
- NYLAG determines, in its professional legal judgement, that (i) you have refused to cooperate with the Clinic's counsel or follow the Clinic's advice; (ii) any assistance would be unreasonably difficult for NYLAG to carry out; or (iii) your case is or will become frivolous, unreasonable, groundless, or without merit.

